arXiv:1612.02591v1 [math.RT] 8 Dec 2016

# THE AUSLANDER-REITEN DUALITY VIA MORPHISMS DETERMINED BY OBJECTS

PENGJIE JIAO AND JUE LE*

Abstract. Given an exact category $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_l$ the smallest additive subcategory containing injectives and indecomposable objects which appear as the first term of an almost split conflation. We prove that a deflation is right determined by some object if and only if its intrinsic kernel lies in $\mathcal{C}_l$. We give characterizations for $\mathcal{C}$ having Auslander-Reiten duality.

## 1. Introduction

Let $k$ be a commutative artinian ring and $\check{k}$ be the minimal injective cogenerator. We denote by $D = \mathrm{Hom}_k(-, \check{k})$ the Matlis duality. The categories we consider are $k$-linear Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt and skeletally small.

Recall from [9] that an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ is said to *have Auslander-Reiten duality*, if for any objects $X$ and $Y$, there exist natural isomorphisms

$$\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, \tau X) \simeq D\,\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\tau^- Y, X).$$

Here $\tau$ and $\tau^-$ are mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between the stable categories of $\mathcal{A}$. More generally, the notion of *generalized Auslander-Reiten duality* for an exact category $\mathcal{C}$ was introduced in [5, Section 3]. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_r$ the smallest additive subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ containing projectives and indecomposable objects which appear as the third term of an almost split conflation, and by $\mathcal{C}_l$ the smallest additive subcategory containing injectives and indecomposable objects which appear as the first term of an almost split conflation. We still have the mutually quasi-inverse equivalences $\tau$ and $\tau^-$ between stable categories of $\mathcal{C}_r$ and $\mathcal{C}_l$.

Auslander introduced the notion of *morphisms determined by objects* in his Philadelphia note [1], which extends Auslander-Reiten theory in some aspects. We study morphisms determined by objects in an exact category $\mathcal{C}$. We prove that a deflation in $\mathcal{C}$ is right determined by some object if and only if its intrinsic kernel lies in $\mathcal{C}_l$; see Theorem 5.4. We give characterizations for an object lying in $\mathcal{C}_r$ via morphisms determined by objects; see Theorem 6.3.

Following [8] and [4], we introduce the notions of *having right stably determined deflations* and *having left stably determined inflations*. We show that the conditions "$\mathcal{C}$ has Auslander-Reiten duality", "$\mathcal{C}$ has right stably determined deflations" and "$\mathcal{C}$ has left stably determined inflations" are equivalent; see Theorem 7.2.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 we recall some basic properties of morphisms determined by objects. In Section 3 we prove that if two objects

*Date*: December 9, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E10, 16G70.

*Key words and phrases.* exact category, almost split conflation, Auslander-Reiten duality, morphism determined by object.

*The corresponding author.

$C$ and $C'$ are isomorphic in the projectively stable category, then a deflation is right determined by $C$ if and only if it is right determined by $C'$. In Section 4 we prove the following existence theorem: given objects $C \in \mathcal{C}_r$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, for certain submodules $H$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, Y)$, there exists a deflation $\alpha\colon X \to Y$ with $H = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha)$. Sections 5, 6 and 7 are dedicated to the proofs of Theorems 5.4, 6.3 and 7.2, respectively.

## 2. Morphisms determined by objects

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive category. We recall some well-known notions. Here, we use the terminologies in [11, Section 3]. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism and $C$ be an object. We call $f$ *right $C$-determined* (or right determined by $C$) and call $C$ a *right determiner* of $f$, if the following condition is satisfied: each morphism $g\colon T \to Y$ factors through $f$, provided that for each $h\colon C \to T$ the morphism $g \circ h$ factors through $f$. If moreover $C$ is a direct summand of any right determiner of $f$, we call $C$ a *minimal right determiner* of $f$.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $f_1\colon X_1 \to Y_1$ and $f_2\colon X_2 \to Y_2$ be two morphisms. Then for an object $C$, the morphism $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) : X_1 \oplus X_2 \to Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ is right $C$-determined if and only if both $f_1$ and $f_2$ are right $C$-determined.*

*Proof.* For the sufficiency, we assume that $f_1$ and $f_2$ are right $C$-determined. Let $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) : T \to Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ be a morphism such that for each $h\colon C \to T$, there exists some morphism $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) : C \to X_1 \oplus X_2$ satisfying $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) h = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. We obtain $g_1 \circ h = f_1 \circ s_1$ and $g_2 \circ h = f_2 \circ s_2$. Since $f_1$ and $f_2$ are right $C$-determined, there exist some morphisms $t_1\colon T \to X_1$ and $t_2\colon T \to X_2$ such that $g_1 = f_1 \circ t_1$ and $g_2 = f_2 \circ t_2$. The morphism $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) : T \to X_1 \oplus X_2$ satisfies $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. It follows that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is right $C$-determined.

For the necessity, we assume that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is right $C$-determined. Let $g\colon T \to Y_1$ be a morphism such that for each $h\colon C \to T$, there exists some morphism $s\colon C \to X_1$ satisfying $g \circ h = f_1 \circ s$. The morphisms $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) : T \to Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} s \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) : C \to X_1 \oplus X_2$ satisfy $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) h = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} s \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Since $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is right $C$-determined, there exists some morphism $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) : T \to X_1 \oplus X_2$ such that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. We obtain $g = f_1 \circ t_1$. It follows that $f_1$ is right $C$-determined. Similarly, the morphism $f_2$ is right $C$-determined. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Z \to Y$ be two morphisms. Assume that $f'\colon E \to Z$ and $g'\colon E \to X$ form the pullback of $f$ and $g$. If $f$ is right $C$-determined for some object $C$, then $f'$ is also right $C$-determined.*

*Proof.* Let $h\colon T \to Z$ be a morphism such that for each $u\colon C \to T$, there exists some morphism $v\colon C \to E$ satisfying $h \circ u = f' \circ v$. Then we have $g \circ h \circ u = g \circ f' \circ v = f \circ g' \circ v$. Since $f$ is right $C$-determined, there exists some morphism $s\colon T \to X$ such that $g \circ h = f \circ s$. Since $f'$ and $g'$ form the pullback of $f$ and $g$, there exists a morphism $t\colon T \to E$ such that $h = f' \circ t$. It follows that $f'$ is right $C$-determined. □

Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism. Recall that $f$ is called *right almost split* if $f$ is not a retraction and each morphism $g\colon Z \to Y$ which is not a retraction factors

through $f$. Dually, we call $f$ *left almost split* if $f$ is not a section and each morphism $g\colon X \to Z$ which is not a section factors through $f$.

Given two objects $X$ and $Y$, we denote by $\mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)$ the set of morphisms $f\colon X \to Y$ that for any object $Z$ and any morphisms $g\colon Z \to X$ and $h\colon Y \to Z$, the morphism $h \circ f \circ g$ lies in $\operatorname{rad}\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z)$. Then $\mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}$ forms an ideal of $\mathcal{C}$. We observe by [7, Corollary 2.10(2)] that

$$\mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) = \{f\colon X \to Y \mid f \circ g \in \operatorname{rad}\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y) \text{ for each } g\colon Y \to X\}. \tag{2.1}$$

A morphism $g\colon Z \to Y$ is said to *almost factor through* $f\colon X \to Y$, if $g$ does not factor through $f$, and for each object $T$ and each morphism $h \in \mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(T,Z)$ the morphism $g \circ h$ factors through $f$.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Z \to Y$ be two morphisms. Assume that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z)$ is a local ring and $g$ almost factors through $f$. Then the pullback of $f$ along $g$ is right almost split.*

*Proof.* Let $f'\colon E \to Z$ be the pullback of $f$ along $g$. We have that $f'$ is not a retraction since $g$ does not factors through $f$. Given an object $T$, assume that $h\colon T \to Z$ is not a retraction. Then we have $h \in \mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(T,Z)$ by (2.1) since $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z)$ is local. Since $g$ almost factors through $f$, there exists some morphism $s\colon T \to X$ such that $g \circ h = f \circ s$. By the pullback diagram, there exists a morphism $t\colon T \to E$ such that $h = f' \circ t$. It follows that $f'$ is right almost split. □

## 3. Deflations determined by objects

Let $k$ be a commutative artinian ring. We denote by $k$-mod the category of finitely generated $k$-modules. Let $\check{k}$ be the minimal injective cogenerator for $k$. Then the Matlis duality $D = \mathrm{Hom}_k(-,\check{k})$ is a self-duality of $k$-mod. From now on, the categories we consider are $k$-linear Hom-finite and Krull-Schmidt.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an exact category. Recall that an *exact category* is an additive category $\mathcal{C}$ together with a collection $\mathcal{E}$ of kernel-cokernel pairs which satisfies the axioms in [6, Appendix A]. Here, a kernel-cokernel pair means a sequence of morphisms $X \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{d} Y$, which we denote by $(i,d)$, such that $i$ is the kernel of $d$, and $d$ is the cokernel of $i$. Each kernel-cokernel pair $(i,d) \in \mathcal{E}$ is called a *conflation*, while $i$ is called an *inflation* and $d$ is called a *deflation*. Given a conflation $\eta\colon X \to E \to Y$, for each $f\colon Z \to Y$ we denote by $\eta.f$ the conflation $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(f,X)(\eta)$; for each $g\colon X \to Z$ we denote by $g.\eta$ the conflation $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,g)(\eta)$.

Let $\alpha_1\colon X_1 \to Y_1$ and $\alpha_2\colon X_2 \to Y_2$ be two morphisms. We mention the fact that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_1 & 0\\ 0 & \alpha_2\end{smallmatrix}\right)\colon X_1 \oplus X_2 \to Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ is a deflation if and only if both $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ are deflations; see [3, Proposition 2.9 and Corollary 2.18].

Recall from [9, Section 2] that a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ is called *projectively trivial* if for each $Z$, the induced map $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(f,Z)\colon \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,Z) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Z)$ is zero. We observe that $f$ is projectively trivial if and only if $f$ factors through each deflation ending at $Y$. Dually, we call $f$ *injectively trivial* if for each $Z$, the induced map $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Z,f)\colon \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Z,X) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Z,Y)$ is zero.

Given two objects $X$ and $Y$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(X,Y)$ the set of projectively trivial morphisms from $X$ to $Y$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ forms an ideal of $\mathcal{C}$. The *projectively stable category* $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is the factor category $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{P}$. Given a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$, we denote by $\underline{f}$ its image in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. We denote by $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)/\mathcal{P}(X,Y)$ the set of morphisms from $X$ to $Y$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$.

Dually, we denote by $\mathcal{I}(X,Y)$ the set of injectively trivial morphisms from $X$ to $Y$. The *injectively stable category* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is the factor category $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I}$. Given a morphism $f\colon X\to Y$, we denote by $\overline{f}$ its image in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. We denote by $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)/\mathcal{I}(X,Y)$ the set of morphisms from $X$ to $Y$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $\alpha\colon X\to Y$ be a deflation. Then a morphism $f\colon T\to Y$ factors through $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\underline{f}$ factors through $\underline{\alpha}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show the sufficiency. Assume that $\underline{f}$ factors through $\underline{\alpha}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. Then there exists some morphism $g\colon T\to X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\underline{f}=\underline{\alpha}\circ\underline{g}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. We have that $f-\alpha\circ g$ is projectively trivial in $\mathcal{C}$. Since $\alpha$ is a deflation, there exists some morphism $h\colon T\to X$ such that $f-\alpha\circ g=\alpha\circ h$ in $\mathcal{C}$. It follows that $f=\alpha\circ(g+h)$, factoring through $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}$. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let $C$ be an object. Then a deflation $\alpha\colon X\to Y$ is right $C$-determined in $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\underline{\alpha}$ is right $C$-determined in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$.*

*Proof.* For the sufficiency, we assume that $\underline{\alpha}$ is right $C$-determined in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. Let $f\colon T\to Y$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ such that for each $g\colon C\to T$, the morphism $f\circ g$ factors through $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\underline{f}\circ\underline{g}$ factors through $\underline{\alpha}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. Since $\underline{\alpha}$ is right $C$-determined in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$, we have that $\underline{f}$ factors through $\underline{\alpha}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. By Lemma 3.1, the morphism $f$ factors through $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}$. It follows that $\alpha$ is right $C$-determined in $\mathcal{C}$.

For the necessity, we assume that $\alpha$ is right $C$-determined in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $f\colon T\to Y$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ such that for each $g\colon C\to T$, the morphism $\underline{f}\circ\underline{g}$ factors through $\underline{\alpha}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. By Lemma 3.1, the morphism $f\circ g$ factors through $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Since $\alpha$ is right $C$-determined in $\mathcal{C}$, we have that $f$ factors through $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\underline{f}$ factors through $\underline{\alpha}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. It follows that $\underline{\alpha}$ is right $C$-determined in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. □

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let $C$ and $C'$ be two objects. Assume that $C\simeq C'$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. Then a deflation $\alpha\colon X\to Y$ is right $C$-determined if and only if it is right $C'$-determined.*

*Proof.* We observe that $\underline{\alpha}$ is right $C$-determined in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ if and only $\underline{\alpha}$ is right $C'$-determined in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. Then the result follows by applying Lemma 3.2 twice. □

## 4. An existence theorem

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt exact category. Given an object $C$, we denote by $\Gamma_C=\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(C)^{\mathrm{op}}$ the opposite algebra of the endomorphism algebra of $C$, and by $\mathrm{add}\,C$ the category of direct summands of finite direct sums of $C$.

Recall from [5, Section 2] two full subcategories $\mathcal{C}_r$ and $\mathcal{C}_l$ of $\mathcal{C}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_r=\left\{X\in\mathcal{C}\middle|\text{the functor } D\,\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(X,-)\colon\overline{\mathcal{C}}\to k\text{-mod is representable}\right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_l=\left\{X\in\mathcal{C}\middle|\text{the functor } D\,\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(-,X)\colon\underline{\mathcal{C}}\to k\text{-mod is representable}\right\}.$$

Then we have the mutually quasi-inverse equivalences

$$\tau\colon\underline{\mathcal{C}_r}\xrightarrow{\sim}\overline{\mathcal{C}_l}\text{ and }\tau^-\colon\overline{\mathcal{C}_l}\xrightarrow{\sim}\underline{\mathcal{C}_r}.$$

For each $X\in\mathcal{C}_r$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$D\,\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(X,-)\xrightarrow{\sim}\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(-,\tau X);$$

for each $X\in\mathcal{C}_l$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$D\,\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(-,X)\xrightarrow{\sim}\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tau^-X,-).$$

Recall that a conflation $\eta\colon X \xrightarrow{u} E \xrightarrow{v} Y$ is *almost split* if $u$ is left almost split and $v$ is right almost split. Assume that $\eta$ is almost split. We mention that both $X$ and $Y$ are indecomposable. By the following lemma, which is due to [5, Proposition 2.4], we have $X \in \mathcal{C}_l$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}_r$. We mention that $Y \simeq \tau^- X$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ and $X \simeq \tau Y$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $X$ be an indecomposable object.*

(1) *Assume that $X$ is non-projective. Then $X \in \mathcal{C}_r$ if and only if there exists an almost split conflation ending at $X$.*
(2) *Assume that $X$ is non-injective. Then $X \in \mathcal{C}_l$ if and only if there exists an almost split conflation starting at $X$.* □

The classic case of the following lemma is well known; see [11, Corollary 3.5].

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let $\alpha\colon X \to Y$ be a deflation with $\operatorname{Ker}\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_l$. Then $\alpha$ is right $\tau^-(\operatorname{Ker}\alpha)$-determined.*

*Proof.* Let $f\colon T \to Y$ be a morphism such that for each $g\colon \tau^-(\operatorname{Ker}\alpha) \to T$, the morphism $f \circ g$ factors through $\alpha$. We denote by $\eta$ the conflation $\operatorname{Ker}\alpha \to X \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y$. We obtain that $\eta.(f \circ g)$ splits. Since $\operatorname{Ker}\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_l$, there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\phi\colon \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tau^-(\operatorname{Ker}\alpha), -) \longrightarrow D\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(-, \operatorname{Ker}\alpha).$$

Set $\gamma = \phi_{\tau^-(\operatorname{Ker}\alpha)}(\underline{\operatorname{Id}_{\tau^-(\operatorname{Ker}\alpha)}})$. By the naturalness of $\phi$, we have

$$\phi_T(\underline{g}) = D\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(g, \operatorname{Ker}\alpha)(\gamma) = \gamma \circ \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(g, \operatorname{Ker}\alpha).$$

It follows that

$$\phi_T(\underline{g})(\eta.f) = \gamma((\eta.f).g) = \gamma(\eta.(f \circ g)) = 0.$$

We observe that $\phi_T(\underline{g})$ runs over all maps in $D\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(T, \operatorname{Ker}\alpha)$, when $\underline{g}$ runs over all morphisms in $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tau^-(\operatorname{Ker}\alpha), T)$. It follows that $\eta.f$ splits. In other words, the morphism $f$ factors through $\alpha$. Then the result follows. □

Given an object $C$ and a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$, we denote by $\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, f)$ the image of the induced map $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, f)\colon \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, Y)$. Generalizing [2, Corollary XI.3.4] to an exact category $\mathcal{C}$, we obtain the following existence theorem. Since $\mathcal{C}$ has no enough projectives, the treatment here is completely different.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let $C$ and $Y$ be two objects. Assume that $C \in \mathcal{C}_r$ and $H$ is a $\Gamma_C$-submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, Y)$ satisfying $\mathcal{P}(C, Y) \subseteq H$. Then there exists some deflation $\alpha\colon X \to Y$, which is right $C$-determined such that $\operatorname{Ker}\alpha \in \operatorname{add}(\tau C)$ and $H = \operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha)$.*

*Proof.* By the assumption we have $\tau C \in \mathcal{C}_l$ and $\tau^-\tau C \simeq C$ in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. Then there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\phi\colon \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, -) \longrightarrow D\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(-, \tau C).$$

Set $\gamma = \phi_C(\underline{\operatorname{Id}_C})$. By the naturalness of $\phi$, for each object $Z$ and each morphism $f\colon C \to Z$, we have

$$\phi_Z(\underline{f}) = D\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(f, \tau C)(\gamma) = \gamma \circ \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(f, \tau C).$$

Then for each $\mu \in \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, \tau C)$, we have

$$\phi_Z(\underline{f})(\mu) = \gamma(\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(f, \tau C)(\mu)) = \gamma(\mu.f).$$

We set $\underline{H} = H/\mathcal{P}(C,Y)$ and set

$$\underline{H}^{\perp} = \left\{\mu \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,\tau C) | \phi_Y(\underline{h})(\mu) = 0 \text{ for each } \underline{h} \in \underline{H}\right\}.$$

We observe that $\underline{H}^{\perp}$ is a $\Gamma_C$-submodule of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,\tau C)$. Here, for any $f \in \Gamma_C$ and $\mu \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,\tau C)$, the action of $f$ on $\mu$ is given by $\tau(\underline{f}).\mu$. Then there exists finitely many $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_n$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,\tau C)$ such that $\underline{H}^{\perp} = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_C \eta_i$. Assume that $\eta_i$ is represented by the conflation $\tau C \to X_i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} Y$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. We have that $\alpha_i$ is right $\tau^-\tau C$-determined by Lemma 4.2. Then $\alpha_i$ is right $C$-determined by Proposition 3.3. We observe that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ is a deflation. By Lemma 2.1, we have that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ is right $C$-determined.

Consider the following commutative diagram obtained by a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \tau C & \dashrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y \\
\| & & \vdots & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_Y \\ \vdots \\ \mathrm{Id}_Y \end{pmatrix} \\
\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \tau C & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n X_i & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Y.
\end{array}$$

We have that $\alpha$ is a deflation and $\operatorname{Ker}\alpha$ lies in $\mathrm{add}(\tau C)$. By Lemma 2.2, the deflation $\alpha$ is right $C$-determined. By a direct verification, we have

$$\operatorname{Im}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,\alpha) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Im}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,\alpha_i).$$

For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we set

$${}^{\perp}(\Gamma_C\eta_i) = \{\underline{h} \in \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,Y) | \phi_Y(\underline{h})(\mu) = 0 \text{ for each } \mu \in \Gamma_C\eta_i\}.$$

We observe that ${}^{\perp}(\Gamma_C\eta_i)$ is a $\Gamma_C$-submodule of $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,Y)$. We mention that $\mathcal{P}(C,Y) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,\alpha_i)$, since $\alpha_i$ is a deflation. Then we claim that

$${}^{\perp}(\Gamma_C\eta_i) = \operatorname{Im}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,\alpha_i)/\mathcal{P}(C,Y).$$

Let $h\colon C \to Y$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{Im}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,\alpha_i)$. We obtain that $\eta_i.h$ splits. Then we have

$$\phi_Y(\underline{h})(\tau(\underline{f}).\eta_i) = \gamma((\tau(\underline{f}).\eta_i).h) = \gamma(\tau(\underline{f}).(\eta_i.h)) = 0$$

for each $f\colon C \to C$. It follows that $\operatorname{Im}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,\alpha_i)/\mathcal{P}(C,Y) \subseteq {}^{\perp}(\Gamma_C\eta_i)$.

On the other hand, let $h\colon C \to Y$ be a morphism such that $\underline{h} \in {}^{\perp}(\Gamma_C\eta_i)$. Then we have $\phi_Y(\underline{h})(\tau(\underline{f}).\eta_i) = 0$ for each $f\colon C \to C$. By the definition of $\tau$ in [5, Section 3], we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi_Y} & D\,\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,\tau C) \\
{\scriptstyle \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{f},Y)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle D\,\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,\tau(\underline{f}))} \\
\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi_Y} & D\,\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,\tau C).
\end{array}$$

We obtain

$$\phi_Y(\underline{h} \circ \underline{f})(\eta_i) = \phi_Y(\underline{h})(\tau(\underline{f}).\eta_i) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\phi_C(\underline{f})(\eta_i.h) = \gamma((\eta_i.h).f) = \gamma(\eta_i.(h \circ f)) = \phi_Y(\underline{h \circ f})(\eta_i) = 0.$$

We observe that $\phi_C(\underline{f})$ runs over all maps in $D\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \tau C)$, when $\underline{f}$ runs over all morphisms in $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\mathcal{C}}(C)$. It follows that $\eta_i.h$ splits. In other words, the morphism $h$ factors through $\alpha_i$. We then obtain $h \in \operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha_i)$. It follows that ${}^{\perp}(\Gamma_C\eta_i) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha_i)/\mathcal{P}(C, Y)$.

Then we have

$$\underline{H} = {}^{\perp}(\underline{H}^{\perp}) = {}^{\perp}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_C\eta_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} {}^{\perp}(\Gamma_C\eta_i),$$

where the first equality follows from the isomorphism $\phi_Y$. It follows that

$$\underline{H} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha_i)/\mathcal{P}(C, Y) = \operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha)/\mathcal{P}(C, Y).$$

Then the result follows since $\mathcal{P}(C, Y) \subseteq H$. □

## 5. A characterization for determined deflation

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt exact category. We give a characterization for a deflation being right $C$-determined for some object $C$.

The following lemma, which is due to [2, Proposition XI.2.4 and Lemma XI.2.1], shows that each right $C$-determined morphism has a minimal right determiner.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism, which is right $C$-determined for some object $C$.*

1. *Assume that $C'$ is an indecomposable object and $f'\colon C' \to Y$ almost factors through $f$. Then $C'$ is a direct summand of $C$.*
2. *Assume that $\{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t\}$ is a complete set of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable objects such that there exists some morphism $f_i\colon C_i \to Y$, which almost factors through $f$. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} C_i$ is a minimal right determiner of $f$.* □

Recall that a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ is called *right minimal*, if each $g \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ with $f \circ g = f$ is an isomorphism. Dually, we call $f$ *left minimal*, if each $g \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)$ with $g \circ f = f$ is an isomorphism.

Two morphisms $f\colon X \to Y$ and $f'\colon X' \to Y$ are called *right equivalent* if $f$ factors through $f'$ and $f'$ factors through $f$. Assume that $f$ and $f'$ are right equivalent, and that $C$ is an object. Then $f$ is right $C$-determined if and only if so is $f'$. We mention the fact that there exists some $g\colon Z \to Y$ such that $f$ and $g$ are right equivalent and $g$ is right minimal. We call $g$ the *right minimal version* of $f$. Following [10, Section 2], we call $\operatorname{Ker} g$ the *intrinsic kernel* of $f$.

Dually, two morphisms $f\colon X \to Y$ and $f'\colon X \to Y'$ are left equivalent if $f$ factors through $f'$ and $f'$ factors through $f$. We mention that there exists some $g\colon X \to Z$ such that $f$ and $g$ are left equivalent and $g$ is left minimal. We call $g$ the *left minimal version* of $f$, and call $\operatorname{Cok} g$ the *intrinsic cokernel* of $f$.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let $\alpha\colon X \to Y$ be a deflation and $C$ be an indecomposable object. Assume that there exists some morphism $f\colon C \to Y$ which almost factors through $\alpha$. Then there exists an almost split conflation $K \to E \to C$ such that $K$ is a direct summand of the intrinsic kernel of $\alpha$. In particular, $C$ is non-projective.*

*Proof.* We may assume that $\alpha$ is right minimal. Let $\beta\colon Z \to C$ be the pullback of $\alpha$ along $f$. Then $\beta$ is a deflation. By Proposition 2.3, we have that $\beta$ is right

almost split. Let $\gamma\colon E \to C$ be the right minimal version of $\beta$. Then $\operatorname{Ker}\gamma$ is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ker}\beta \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\alpha$. We observe that $\gamma$ is still a deflation and is still right almost split. It follows from [2, Proposition V.1.14] that $\operatorname{Ker}\beta \to E \xrightarrow{\gamma} C$ is an almost split conflation. □

**Corollary 5.3.** *A minimal right determiner of a deflation has no nonzero projective direct summands and lies in $\mathcal{C}_r$.*

*Proof.* Let $C$ be a minimal right determiner of a deflation $\alpha\colon X \to Y$. It is sufficient to show that each indecomposable direct summand $C'$ of $C$ is non-projective and lies in $\mathcal{C}_r$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists some morphism $f\colon C' \to Y$ which almost factors through $\alpha$. By Lemma 5.2, there exists an almost split conflation ending at $C'$. Then the result follows from Lemma 4.1(1). □

It is well known that in the category of finitely generated modules over an artin algebra, each morphism is right $C$-determined for some object $C$; see [2, Corollary XI.1.4] and [10, Theorem 1]. Here, we can only give a characterization for a deflation being right $C$-determined for some object $C$.

**Theorem 5.4.** *A deflation $\alpha\colon X \to Y$ is right $C$-determined for some object $C$ if and only if the intrinsic kernel of $\alpha$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_l$.*

*Proof.* For the sufficiency, let $\alpha'\colon X' \to Y$ be the right minimal version of $\alpha$. Then we have $\operatorname{Ker}\alpha' \in \mathcal{C}_l$. We observe that $\alpha'$ is still a deflation. By Lemma 4.2, we have that $\alpha'$ is right $\tau^-(\operatorname{Ker}\alpha')$-determined. It follows that $\alpha$ is right $\tau^-(\operatorname{Ker}\alpha')$-determined since $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ are right equivalent.

For the necessity, we may assume that $C$ is a minimal right determiner of $\alpha$. By Corollary 5.3, we have $C \in \mathcal{C}_r$. We observe that $\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,\alpha)$ is a $\Gamma_C$-submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,Y)$. Since $\alpha$ is a deflation, we have $\mathcal{P}(C,Y) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,\alpha)$. By Theorem 4.3, there exists some deflation $\alpha'\colon X' \to Y$, which is right $C$-determined such that $\operatorname{Ker}\alpha' \in \operatorname{add}(\tau C)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,\alpha) = \operatorname{Im}\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C,\alpha')$. We observe that $\alpha \circ f$ factors through $\alpha'$ and $\alpha' \circ f'$ factors through $\alpha$ for any $f\colon C \to X$ and $f'\colon C \to X'$. Since $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ are right $C$-determined, we have that $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ factor through each other. It follows that $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ are right equivalent. The intrinsic kernel $K$ of $\alpha$ is also the intrinsic kernel of $\alpha'$. We observe that $\tau C \in \mathcal{C}_l$ and $K$ is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ker}\alpha'$. Then the result follows. □

**Example 5.5.** Let $Q$ be the following infinite quiver

$$\overset{\circ}{\underset{1}{}} \longrightarrow \overset{\circ}{\underset{2}{}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \overset{\circ}{\underset{n}{}} \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

We consider the representations of $Q$ over a field. For each $i \geq 1$, we denote by $P_i$ the indecomposable projective representation and by $S_i$ the simple representation corresponding to $i$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category of finitely presented representations. It is well known that $\mathcal{C}$ is a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt abelian category.

For each $i \geq 1$, we consider the projective cover $f_i\colon P_i \to S_i$. We have $\operatorname{Ker} f_i \simeq P_{i+1}$. By [5, Proposition 4.4], we have $P_{i+1} \notin \mathcal{C}_l$. By Theorem 5.4, we have that $f_i$ is not right $C$-determined for any object $C$.

By Lemma 5.2, for any indecomposable object $C$, any morphism $g\colon C \to S_i$ does not almost factor through $f_i$. We observe that $f_i$ is not right 0-determined. Then we have that the condition "$f$ is right $C$-determined for some object $C$" for Lemma 5.1(2) is necessary.

## 6. More descriptions of objects in $\mathcal{C}_r$ and $\mathcal{C}_l$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt exact category. We will give some characterizations for an object lying in $\mathcal{C}_r$ or $\mathcal{C}_l$ via morphisms determined by objects.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let $K$ be an object without nonzero injective direct summands. Then $K$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_l$ if and only if there exists some deflation $\alpha\colon X \to Y$, which is right $C$-determined for some object $C$ such that $K$ is the intrinsic kernel of $\alpha$.*

*Proof.* The sufficiency follows from Theorem 5.4. For the necessity, we assume $K \in \mathcal{C}_l$. Decompose $K$ as the direct sum of indecomposable objects $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_n$. We have that each $K_i$ is non-injective. Then for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, there exists a non-split conflation $K_i \to X_i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} Y_i$. We observe that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ is a deflation. By Lemma 4.2, we have that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ is right $\tau^- K$-determined. We observe that $\alpha_i$ is right minimal. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ is also right minimal. It follows that $K$ is the intrinsic kernel of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. □

The following lemma is the converse of Theorem 4.3.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let $C$ be an object. Assume that for each object $Y$ and each $\Gamma_C$-submodule $H$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, Y)$ satisfying $\mathcal{P}(C, Y) \subseteq H$, there exists some deflation $\alpha\colon X \to Y$, which is right $C$-determined such that $H = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha)$. Then the object $C$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_r$.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that each non-projective indecomposable direct summand $C'$ of $C$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_r$. Then each $f \in \mathcal{P}(C, C')$ is not a retraction. We observe that $\mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C')$ is formed by non-retractions. We obtain $\mathcal{P}(C, C') \subseteq \mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C')$. We observe that $\mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C')$ is a $\Gamma_C$-submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C')$. By the assumption, there exists some deflation $\alpha\colon X \to C'$, which is right $C$-determined such that $\mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C') = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha)$.

We claim that $\mathrm{Id}_{C'}$ almost factors through $\alpha$. Indeed, the deflation $\alpha$ is not a retraction, since $\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha) = \mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C')$ is a proper submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C')$. It follows that $\mathrm{Id}_{C'}$ does not factor through $\alpha$. Let $f\colon T \to C'$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, C')$. For each $g\colon C \to T$, the morphism $f \circ g$ lies in $\mathrm{rad}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C') = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha)$. It follows that $f \circ g$ factors through $\alpha$. Since $\alpha$ is right $C$-determined, we have that $f$ factors through $\alpha$. It follows that $\mathrm{Id}_{C'}$ almost factors through $\alpha$. By Lemma 5.2, there exists an almost split conflation ending at $C'$. Then the result follows from Lemma 4.1(1). □

Collecting the results obtained so far, we list some some characterizations for an object lying in $\mathcal{C}_r$. We mention that the equivalence between (5) and (6) in the following theorem is somehow surprising.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt exact category and let $C \in \mathcal{C}$. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The object $C$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_r$.*
2. *For each object $Y$ and each $\Gamma_C$-submodule $H$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, Y)$ satisfying $\mathcal{P}(C, Y) \subseteq H$, there exists some deflation $\alpha\colon X \to Y$, which is right $C$-determined such that $H = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha)$.*
3. *Each inflation $\alpha\colon X \to Y$ with $\mathrm{Cok}\,\alpha \in \mathrm{add}\,C$ is left $K$-determined for some object $K$.*

*If moreover $C$ is non-projective indecomposable, they are equivalent to the following statements.*

(4) *There exists an inflation* $\alpha\colon X \to Y$ *whose intrinsic cokernel is nonzero and lies in* $\operatorname{add} C$ *such that* $\alpha$ *is left* $K$*-determined for some object* $K$*.*
(5) *There exists an almost split conflation ending at* $C$*.*
(6) *There exists a non-split deflation which is right* $C$*-determined.*
(7) *There exists a deflation* $\alpha\colon X \to Y$ *and a morphism* $f\colon C \to Y$ *such that* $f$ *almost factors through* $\alpha$*.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 6.2, we have "(1)⇔(2)".

By the dual of Lemma 4.2, we have "(1)⇒(3)". We observe that each non-projective indecomposable direct summand of $C$ is the intrinsic cokernel of some inflation. Then we have "(3)⇒(1)" by the dual of Theorem 5.4.

Now, we assume that $C$ is non-projective indecomposable. Then Lemma 4.1(1) implies that "(1)⇔(5)".

By the dual of Proposition 6.1, we have "(1)⇒(4)". Let $C'$ be the intrinsic cokernel of $\alpha$. By the dual of Theorem 5.4, we have $C' \in \mathcal{C}_r$ since $\alpha$ is left $K$-determined. We observe that $\operatorname{add} C = \operatorname{add} C'$. It follows that "(4)⇒(1)".

It is well known that the right almost split deflation ending at $C$ is non-split and right $C$-determined; also see Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 3.3. Then we have "(5)⇒(6)". Let $\alpha$ be a non-split right $C$-determined deflation. We observe by Lemma 5.1 that $C$ is a minimal right determiner of $\alpha$. By Lemma 5.1, we have "(6)⇒(7)". By Lemma 5.2, we have "(7)⇒(5)". □

## 7. Exact categories having Auslander-Reiten duality

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt exact category. Following [8, Definition 2.6] and [4, Definition 3.1], we introduce the following notion.

**Definition 7.1.** An exact category $\mathcal{C}$ is said to *have right stably determined deflations* if for each object $Y$ the following conditions hold.

(1) Each deflation ending at $Y$ is right $C$-determined for some object $C$.
(2) For each object $C$ and each $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$-submodule $H$ of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, Y)$ such that $\mathcal{P}(C, Y) \subseteq H$, there exists some deflation $\alpha\colon X \to Y$, which is right $C$-determined such that $H = \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, \alpha)$. □

Dually, an exact category $\mathcal{C}$ is said to *have left stably determined inflations* if the opposite category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ has right stably determined deflations.

We give the following characterizations for an exact category having Auslander-Reiten duality in the sense of [9].

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt exact category. The following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathcal{C}$ *has Auslander-Reiten duality.*
(2) $\mathcal{C}$ *has right stably determined deflations.*
(3) $\mathcal{C}$ *has left stably determined inflations.*

*Proof.* We observe that $\mathcal{C}$ has Auslander-Reiten duality in the sense of [9] if and only if $\mathcal{C}_l = \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_r$. The dual of the equivalence between Theorem 6.3(1) and Theorem 6.3(3) implies that an object $K$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_l$ if and only if each deflation whose kernel lies in $\operatorname{add} K$ is right $C$-determined for some $C$. Combining this with the equivalence between Theorem 6.3(1) and Theorem 6.3(2) we have "(1)⇔(2)".

By duality, we have "(1)⇔(3)". □

*Remark* 7.3. Compared with [4, Theorem 3.4], it is somewhat surprising that the conditions (2) and (3) in Theorem 7.2 are equivalent. It seems that the conditions (1) and (2) in Definition 7.1 are more symmetric than the conditions (REC1) and (REC2) in [4, Definition 3.1].

## Acknowledgements

The first author thanks his advisor Professor Xiao-Wu Chen for his guidance and encouragement. We thank Dawei Shen for helpful comments. The work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11571329 and 11671174).

## References

[1] M. Auslander, *Functors and morphisms determined by objects*, in Representation theory of algebras (Proc. Conf., Temple Univ., Philadelphia, Pa., 1976), vol. 37 of Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math., Dekker, New York, 1978, 1–244.
[2] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. O. Smalø, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, vol. 36 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[3] T. Bühler, *Exact categories*, Expo. Math. 28 (2010), 1–69.
[4] X.-W. Chen and J. Le, *A note on morphisms determined by objects*, J. Algebra 428 (2015), 138–148.
[5] P. Jiao, *The generalized Auslander-Reiten duality on an exact category*, preprint, (2016), arXiv:1609.07732.
[6] B. Keller, *Chain complexes and stable categories*, Manuscripta Math. 67 (1990), 379–417.
[7] H. Krause, *Krull-Schmidt categories and projective covers*, Expo. Math. 33 (2015), 535–549.
[8] H. Krause, *Morphisms determined by objects in triangulated categories*, in Algebras, quivers and representations, vol. 8 of Abel Symp., Springer, Heidelberg, 2013, 195–207.
[9] H. Lenzing and R. Zuazua, *Auslander-Reiten duality for abelian categories*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3) 10 (2004), 169–177.
[10] C. M. Ringel, *Morphisms determined by objects: the case of modules over Artin algebras*, Illinois J. Math. 56 (2012), 981–1000.
[11] C. M. Ringel, *The Auslander bijections: how morphisms are determined by modules*, Bull. Math. Sci. 3 (2013), 409–484.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, PR China
*E-mail address*: jiaopjie@mail.ustc.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, PR China
*E-mail address*: juele@ustc.edu.cn